

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/901,814	07/10/2001		Lassi Hippelainen	975.348USW1	7875
32294	7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
		S & DEMPSEY I	GYORFI, THOMAS A		
14TH FLOOI 8000 TOWEI		SCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182				2135	
				D. TT. 1. 1. T. D. 0.104/0004	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/901,814	HIPPELAINEN, LASSI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tom Gyorfi	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 November 2004.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-17,19-29 and 31-35 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-17,19-29 and 31-35 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to rest	ndrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· -	• • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The correspondence filed 11/30/04 cancelled claims 1, 18, and 30; and amended claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-17, 19-20, 22, 24-25, 28-29, and 31-34. Claims 2-17, 19-29, and 31-35 remain for examination.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 21 and 31 as originally presented is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Bussey Jr. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Objections

4. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-13, and 19-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: these dependent claims are numbered in such a way as to be dependent on subsequent claims. In addition, the status of claims 19 and 20 was indicated in the amendment filed 11/30/04 as "Previously Presented", where in fact the correct status should be "Currently Amended". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 2-3, 7-19, 21-28, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dikmen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,577,865), and further in view of Bussey Jr. (U.S. Patent 4,797,880).

### Referring to Claim 14:

Dikmen discloses an interception method for performing a lawful interception in a packet network, comprising the steps of:

- a) providing a first network element having an interception function for intercepting data packets (col 4, lines 35-55);
- b) controlling said interception function by an interception control means implemented in a second network element (col 4, lines 10-25); and
- c) transmitting an intercepted data packet from said first network element via said packet network to an interception gateway element providing an interface to at least one intercepting authority (col 6, lines 10-35).

Dikmen does not explicitly disclose "wherein said first network element generates fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets and the fake packets are transmitted from said first network element to said interception gateway element."

Bussey discloses wherein said first network element generates fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets and the fake packets are transmitted

from said first network element to said interception gateway element (Bussey, col. 5, lines 50-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create and transmit fake packets as part of the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation for doing so would be to ensure a constant rate of traffic (Bussey, col. 5, lines 60-65), thereby forestalling any timing analysis of packet data.

#### Referring to Claim 21:

Dikmen discloses an interception system for performing a lawful interception in a packet network, comprising:

- a) a first network element having an interception function for intercepting data packets and comprising a transmitting means for transmitting an intercepted data packet to said packet network (col 4, lines 35-55);
- b) an interception control means implemented in a second network element and controlling the interception function (col 4, lines 10-25); and
- c) an interception gateway element having a receiving means for receiving said intercepted data packet and an interface means for providing an interface to at least one intercepting authority (col 6, lines 10-35).

Dikmen does not explicitly disclose "wherein said first network element further comprises a means for generating fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets."

Bussey discloses wherein said first network element further comprises a means for generating fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets (col. 5, lines 50-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create and transmit fake packets as part of the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation for doing so would be to ensure a constant rate of traffic (Bussey, col. 5, lines 60-65), thereby forestalling any timing analysis of packet data.

### Referring to Claims 2 and 19:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claims 14 and 21 above. Dikmen further discloses said interception gateway element is integrated in said second network element (Fig. 3; col 5, lines 35-50).

### Referring to Claims 3 and 22:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claims 14 and 21 above. Dikmen further discloses a header of a data packet is read by said second network element and data packets to be intercepted are duplicated (col 4, line 45-col 5, line 15).

## Referring to Claims 7 and 28:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claims 14 and 21 above. Dikmen further discloses said first network element is provided in each network segment of said packet network (col 4, lines 35-65).

Referring to Claim 8:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Dikmen further

discloses received intercepted data packets are collected in said interception gateway

element and supplied to an interface of said at least one intercepting authority (col 5,

lines 5-35).

Referring to Claim 9:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 8 above. Dikmen further

discloses said interface comprises a first interface for administrative tasks, a second

interface for network signaling, and a third interface for intercepted user data (col 1,

lines 50-65; col 4, lines 10-45).

Referring to Claim 10:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Dikmen further

discloses said intercepting function comprises a packet sniffing and filtering function (col

7, lines 20-30).

Referring to Claim 11:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 10 above. Dikmen further

discloses said intercepting function is implemented in the Gn interface (col 7, lines 10-

35).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,814

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 12:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Dikmen further discloses said interception function comprises reading data packets, analyzing the header of the data packets as to whether the data packet should be intercepted or not, and transmitting the data packet to said interception gateway element, and a management function for interception and transmission criteria (col 4, line 40-col 5, line

Page 7

Referring to Claim 13:

15).

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Dikmen further discloses an alarm is transmitted to said interception gateway element and all interception information of a respective network element is deleted, when a breakage of a casing of the respective network element has been detected (col 3, lines 40-50).

Referring to Claim 15:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Bussey further discloses wherein said fake packets are transmitted at random or triggered at any passing packet, such that the total load of intercepted and fake packets transmitted to said interception gateway element is constant (col. 5, lines 60-65).

Referring to Claims 16 and 23:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claims 14 and 22 above. Dikmen further discloses said intercepted data packet is padded to a maximum length (col 5, lines 1-2).

Referring to Claim 17:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above. Dikmen further discloses a time information is added to said intercepted data packet (col 5, lines 1-2, 55-65).

Referring to Claim 24:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 21 above. Dikmen further discloses said first network element is a gateway element of said packet network (col 4, lines 35-55).

Referring to Claim 25:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 21 above. Dikmen further discloses said first network element is a BG, an SGSN or a GGSN (col 4, lines 35-50).

Referring to Claim 26:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 24 above. Dikmen further discloses wherein an interception information defining a data packet to be intercepted is

included in a context information supplied to said first network element and used for routing data packets (col 4, lines 40-col 5, line 15).

## Referring to Claim 27:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 26 above. Dikmen further discloses wherein said interception control means comprises a storing means for storing an interception list, and wherein said interception control means is arranged to add said interception information to said context information supplied to said first network element (col 4, lines 25-60).

#### Referring to Claim 32:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 21 above. Dikmen further discloses said first network element comprises a detecting means for detecting a malfunction and/or breakage thereof, and signaling means for signaling an alarm to said interception gateway element in response to an output of said detecting means (col 3, lines 40-50; col 5, lines 55-65).

#### Referring to Claim 33:

Dikmen discloses a network element for a packet network, comprising:

a) an interception means for intercepting a data packet received from said packet network (col 4, lines 10-25), and

Application/Control Number: 09/901,814 Page 10

Art Unit: 2135

b) a transmitting means for transmitting said intercepted data packet via said packet network to an interception gateway element (col 6, lines 10-35),

c) wherein said interception means is controlled by an interception control means arranged in another network element (col 4, lines 35-50).

Dikmen does not disclose "said network element further comprises a means for generating fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets and the fake packets are transmitted from said network element to said interception gateway element."

Bussey discloses said network element further comprises a means for generating fake packets to be transmitted with said intercepted data packets and the fake packets are transmitted from said network element to said interception gateway element (col. 5, lines 50-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create and transmit fake packets as part of the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation for doing so would be to ensure a constant rate of traffic (Bussey, col. 5, lines 60-65), thereby forestalling any timing analysis of packet data.

7. Claims 4-6, 20, 29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dikmen and Bussey as applied to claims 14 and 21 above, and further in view of Aziz et al. (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication 2003/0037235).

### Referring to Claim 4:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above.

Neither Dikmen nor Bussey explicitly disclose "intercepted data packet is transmitted to said interception gateway element using a secure tunnel".

Aziz discloses intercepted data packet is transmitted to said interception gateway element using a secure tunnel (paragraphs 0008-0009).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Dikmen in view of Bussey such that the intercepted information is kept secure by using a tunnel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a method to prevent unauthorized access (Dikmen: col 7, lines 50-60).

## Referring to Claim 5:

The combination of Dikmen, Bussey, and Aziz discloses the limitations of Claim 4 above. Aziz further discloses said secure tunnel is implemented by an encryption processing (paragraphs 0008-0009).

Referring to Claim 6:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 14 above.

Neither Dikmen nor Bussey explicitly disclose "said intercepted data packet is transmitted via interworking units and encrypted between said interworking units, when said first network element and said interception gateway element are arranged in separate network segments."

Aziz discloses said intercepted data packet is transmitted via interworking units and encrypted between said interworking units, when said first network element and said interception gateway element are arranged in separate network segments. (Fig. 1; paragraphs 0008-0009, 0021)

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Dikmen in view of Bussey such that the intercepted information is kept secure by using a tunnel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a method to prevent unauthorized access (Dikmen: col 7, lines 50-60).

Referring to Claim 20:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 21 above.

Neither Dikmen nor Bussey explicitly disclose "said first network element further comprises an encrypting means for encrypting said intercepted data packet"

Aziz discloses said first network element further comprises an encrypting means for encrypting said intercepted data packet (Fig. 1; paragraphs 0008-0009, 0021).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Dikmen in view of Bussey such that the intercepted information is encrypted. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a method to prevent unauthorized access (Dikmen: col 7, lines 50-60).

### Referring to Claim 29:

Dikmen and Bussey disclose the limitations of Claim 21 above.

Neither Dikmen nor Bussey explicitly disclose "first network element comprises a control means for controlling interception and encryption processing in accordance with an interception setting instruction received from said interception control means"

Aziz discloses said first network element comprises a control means for controlling interception and encryption processing in accordance with an interception setting instruction received from said interception control means (Fig. 1; paragraphs 0008-0009, 0021).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Dikmen in view of Bussey such that the intercepted information is encrypted. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a method to prevent unauthorized access (Dikmen: col 7, lines 50-60).

## Referring to Claim 31:

Dikmen discloses an interception system for performing a lawful interception in a packet network, comprising:

- a) a first network element having an interception function for intercepting data packets and comprising a transmitting means for transmitting an intercepted data packet to said packet network (col 4, lines 35-55);
- b) an interception control means implemented in a second network element and controlling the interception function (col 4, lines 10-25); and
- c) an interception gateway element having a receiving means for receiving said intercepted data packet and an interface means for providing an interface to at least one intercepting authority (col 6, lines 10-35), wherein said interception gateway element comprises a memory means for storing received intercepted data packets before supplying them to said interface means (col. 4, lines 50-60), an extraction means for extracting intercepted data packets [from fake data packets] (col. 2, lines 20-30), and a means for adding time information to said received intercepted data packets before storing them in memory (col. 5, lines 1-2, and 55-65).

Dikmen does not explicitly disclose the use of fake packets in the system. However, Bussey discloses this limitation (col. 5, lines 50-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create and transmit fake packets as part of the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation for doing so would be to ensure a constant rate of traffic (Bussey, col. 5, lines 60-65), thereby forestalling any timing analysis of packet data.

Neither Dikmen nor Bussey disclose a decryption means for removing an encryption of the received data packets.

Aziz discloses a decryption means for removing an encryption of the received intercepted data packets (paragraph 0010).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to decrypt encrypted packets into the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation to do so would be to permit authorized access to intercepted packets (Dikmen, col. 7, lines 50-60).

8. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dikmen, and further in view of Aziz.

### Referring to Claim 34:

Dikmen discloses an interception gateway element for an interception system of a packet network, comprising:

- a) a receiving means for receiving an intercepted data packet via said packet network from a network element having an interception function (col 4, lines 25-65); and
- b) an interface means for providing an interface to an intercepting authority (col.6, lines 10-35); and
- c) a memory means for storing received intercepted data packets before supplying them to said interface means (col 4, lines 50-60) and means for adding a time

information to said received intercepted data packets before storing them in memory (col 5, lines 1-2, 55-65).

Dikmen does not explicitly disclose "a decryption means for removing an encryption of the received intercepted data packets."

Aziz discloses a decryption means for removing an encryption of the received intercepted data packets (paragraph 0010).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to decrypt encrypted packets into the system disclosed by Dikmen. The motivation to do so would be to permit authorized access to intercepted packets (Dikmen, col. 7, lines 50-60).

Referring to Claim 35:

Dikmen and Aziz disclose the limitations of Claim 34 above. Dikmen further discloses an interception control means for controlling said interception function of said network element (col 4, lines 10-45).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,814

Art Unit: 2135

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tom Gyorfi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3849.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TAG** 3/22/05

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 17